Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,499	FUNAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sharon E. Payne	2875	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _		
(1) Sharon E. Payne.	(3)		
(2) Mike Britton.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 5 August 2004	Time: <u>Approx. 1:30 p.m.</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)		
Part I.			·,
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 20			
Prior art documents discussed: Lindblad (U.S. Patent 5,404,277)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G It was agreed that claim 20 would be canceled because Lin		AS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a wr rate record of the substance of the	itten summary of the sul he interview, since the ir	bstance
(Francisco(CDF Circoture)	licent/Applicantle Description	Cianatura if anno its	<del>_</del>
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representative	oignature – ir appropriat	.e)